

# **EXHIBIT A**



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 10, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

David W. Hodges  
Kennedy Hodges, LLP.  
4409 Montrose Blvd. Suite 200  
Houston, TX, 77006  
[mtg.kennedyhodges.com](http://mtg.kennedyhodges.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Salinas et al v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:17-cv-03549-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Salinas
Plaintiffs' First Name	Juan
Case No.	18-cv-03549
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	Incomplete
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	Incomplete
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	Incomplete
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	Incomplete
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	Incomplete
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	Incomplete
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	Incomplete
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	Incomplete
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	Incomplete
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	Incomplete
Section IX - 4 (Married)	Incomplete
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

August 6, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel C. Burke  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP  
10 E. 40th Street  
New York, NY 10016  
[dburke@bernlieb.com](mailto:dburke@bernlieb.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Guenther, Gary v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure



Plaintiffs' Last Name	Guenther
Plaintiffs' First Name	Gary
Case No.	18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 31, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel Christopher Burke  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP  
10 East 40th Street  
New York, NY 10016  
[dburke@bernlieb.com](mailto:dburke@bernlieb.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00275-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Owens
Plaintiffs' First Name	Janis
Case No.	18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	02 07
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 5, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Annesley H DeGaris  
DeGaris & Rogers, LLC  
Two North Twentieth Street, Suite 1030  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
[adegaris@degarislaw.com](mailto:adegaris@degarislaw.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Amador, George v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00318-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Amador
Plaintiffs' First Name	George
Case No.	0:18-cv-00318-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01, 02, 03, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	Incomplete
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	Incomplete
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	Incomplete
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	Incomplete
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	Incomplete
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	Incomplete
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	adegar@degarislaw.com





Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 24, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Caroline White  
Murray Law Firm  
650 Poydras Street, Ste. 2150  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
[cthomas@murray-lawfirm.com](mailto:cthomas@murray-lawfirm.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Winn, Robert v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00891-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Winn
Plaintiffs' First Name	Robert Lee
Case No.	0:18-cv-00891
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01, 03, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01 03 04
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	Incomplete
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	Incomplete
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	Incomplete
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	Incomplete
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	Incomplete
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	Incomplete
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	Incomplete
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	Incomplete
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	Incomplete
Section IX - 4 (Married)	Incomplete
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Murray Law Firm
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	cthomas@murray-lawfirm.com



# **EXHIBIT B**



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

April 17, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Daniel C. Burke  
Bernstein Liebhard LLP  
10 E. 40th Street  
New York, NY 10016  
[dburke@bernlieb.com](mailto:dburke@bernlieb.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.* Case No.: 0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

Title "Brown\_Ina \_CaseNo017-cv-04778\_1\_Brown\_Ina \_CaseNo017-cv-04778\_1"

Brown\_Ina  
\_CaseNo017-cv-  
04778\_1\_Brown\_Ina  
\_CaseNo017-cv-  
04778\_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Brown  
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Ina  
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04778  
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -  
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -  
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete  
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 03  
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -  
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -  
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -  
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -  
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -  
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -  
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete  
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete  
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -  
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -  
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -  
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete  
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -  
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -  
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -  
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -  
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -  
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -  
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -  
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard  
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlleb.com, dlee@bernlleb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

June 18, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Kristine Kraft  
Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP  
100 S. Fourth Street Ste 1200  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
[rharris@uselaws.com](mailto:rharris@uselaws.com), [skoelker@uselaws.com](mailto:skoelker@uselaws.com);  
[hgrupe@uselaws.com](mailto:hgrupe@uselaws.com).

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
*Clark, Georgia v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:17-cv-05047-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Clark, Jr.
Plaintiffs' First Name	Willie F.
Case No.	17-cv-5047
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Schlichter Bogard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	rharris@uselaws.com



Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 16, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Jeffrey Alan Travers  
The Miller Firm, LLC  
108 Railroad Avenue  
Orange, VA 22960  
[jtravers@millerfirmllc.com](mailto:jtravers@millerfirmllc.com);  
[TShah@MillerFirmLLC.com](mailto:TShah@MillerFirmLLC.com)

*Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Smith, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00348-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Smith
Plaintiffs' First Name	Dorothy
Case No.	18-cv-000348
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	07 13
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	The Miller Firm LLC
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	tshah@millerfirmllc.com





Benjamin W. Hulse  
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256  
E-Mail: [bhulse@blackwellburke.com](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com)

July 9, 2018

**VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL**

Yvonne M. Flaherty  
Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.  
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
[ymflaherty@locklaw.com](mailto:ymflaherty@locklaw.com)

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*  
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case  
*Brann, Stefan v. 3M Company et al* Case No.: 0:18-cv-00481-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel  
Enclosure

<b>Plaintiffs' Last Name</b>	Brann
<b>Plaintiffs' First Name</b>	Stefan
<b>Case No.</b>	0:18-cv-00481
<b>Section I (Case Information)</b>	
<b>Section I - Incomplete Questions</b>	
<b>Section II (Personal Information)</b>	
<b>Section II - Incomplete Questions</b>	
<b>Section III (Surgery Information)</b>	
<b>Section III - Incomplete Questions</b>	1
<b>Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)</b>	
<b>Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)</b>	
<b>Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)</b>	
<b>Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)</b>	
<b>Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)</b>	
<b>Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)</b>	
<b>Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)</b>	
<b>Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)</b>	
<b>Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 6 (Warnings)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)</b>	
<b>Section VI - 9 (Augustine)</b>	
<b>Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)</b>	
<b>Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)</b>	Incomplete
<b>Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)</b>	
<b>Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)</b>	
<b>Section IX - 3 (Residences)</b>	
<b>Section IX - 4 (Married)</b>	
<b>X.01 - Signed Authorization</b>	
<b>X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification</b>	
<b>I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm</b>	Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
<b>I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email</b>	ymflaherty@locklaw.com

# EXHIBIT C

**From:** Ben Hulse

**Sent:** Monday, September 17, 2018 5:21 PM

**To:** [JoanEricksen Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); [schultz chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:schultz_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)

**Cc:** Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesher & Spence ([gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com))

<[gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com)>; Gabriel Assaad ([gassaad@kennedyhodes.com](mailto:gassaad@kennedyhodes.com))

<[gassaad@kennedyhodes.com](mailto:gassaad@kennedyhodes.com)>; Jan Conlin ([JMC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:JMC@ciresiconlin.com)) <[JMC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:JMC@ciresiconlin.com)>; Ben

Gordon ([bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com)) <[bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com)>; Jerry Blackwell

<[blackwell@blackwellburke.com](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com)>; Lyn Pruitt <[LPruitt@mwlaw.com](mailto:LPruitt@mwlaw.com)>; David Szerlag

<[david@pritzkerlaw.com](mailto:david@pritzkerlaw.com)>; Bridget Ahmann <[Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)>; Mary Young

<[myoung@blackwellburke.com](mailto:myoung@blackwellburke.com)>; Ben Gordon <[bengordonlaw@aol.com](mailto:bengordonlaw@aol.com)>

**Subject:** RE: MDL 2666 - Joint Agenda and Report for Aug. 16 Status Conference

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Schultz,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, attached is the parties' Joint Agenda and Report for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, September 20. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Best regards,

Ben Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Direct (612) 343-3256

Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.



**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00572-JNE-DTS	Gauthier, Kim v. 3M Company, et al.	3/1/2018	5/31/2018	7/13/2018	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00757-JNE-DTS	Homsher, Larry v. 3M Company, et al.	3/20/2018	6/18/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00758-JNE-DTS	Butler, Frances Eloise v. 3M Company, et al.	3/20/2018	6/18/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00802-JNE-DTS	Brown, Charles v. 3M Company, et al.	3/23/2018	6/21/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00839-JNE-DTS	Pavia, Johnny et al v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00840-JNE-DTS	Stidham, Robert et al v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00842-JNE-DTS	Wiggins, Francis v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00855-JNE-DTS	Short, Vincent v. 3M Company, et al.	3/27/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00856-JNE-DTS	Negron, Angel v. 3M Company, et al.	3/27/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-01009-JNE-DTS	Woelfel, Rosemarie et al v. 3M Company, et al.	4/25/2018	7/24/2018	8/10/2018	Brown Chiari, LLP
0:18-cv-01175-JNE-DTS	Collins, Jean v. 3M Company, et al.	4/30/2018	7/29/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-01263-JNE-DTS	Anctil, Fernand v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	8/5/2018	8/10/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01283-JNE-DTS	Winegar, Connie v. 3M Company, et al.	5/8/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00080-JNE-DTS	Harris, Jimmie Don v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00264-JNE-DTS	Wega, Salvadore v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00529-JNE-DTS	Logsdon, Wanda v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01308-JNE-DTS	Hasan, Hope v. 3M Company et al	5/11/2018	8/9/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01346-JNE-DTS	Blair, Catherine v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2018	8/14/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01353-JNE-DTS	Van Nieuwenhuiz, Richard v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2018	8/14/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01362-JNE-DTS	DeSimone, Sandra v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	8/15/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-01381-JNE-DTS	White, Edward v. 3M Company et al	5/18/2018	8/16/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01421-JNE-DTS	Ross, Marie v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	8/22/2018		Farr, Farr, Emerich, Hackett, Carr & Holmes, P.A.
0:18-cv-01435-JNE-DTS	Swatchick, Lou Todd et al v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence
0:18-cv-01455-JNE-DTS	Matteo, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-01456-JNE-DTS	Manheim, Kathleen v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-01497-JNE-DTS	Wallace, Jerry v. 3M Company et al	5/30/2018	8/28/2018		McSweeney / Langevin LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01513-JNE-DTS	Thomas, Milton et al v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2018	8/29/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-01523-JNE-DTS	Butters, Kenneth v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2018	8/29/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-01536-JNE-DTS	Myers, Lois v. 3M Company et al	6/1/2018	8/30/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01537-JNE-DTS	Cochran, Loretta v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	9/2/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01542-JNE-DTS	Davis, Harry v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	9/2/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-01545-JNE-DTS	Friesen, Janice v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	9/2/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01575-JNE-DTS	Connors, Patricia v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	9/4/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01613-JNE-DTS	Dobeck, Donna v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018	9/6/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP



**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-DTS	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-DTS	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-DTS	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-DTS	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-DTS	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company, et al.	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05370-JNE-DTS	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-DTS	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-DTS	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05477-JNE-DTS	Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company, et al.	5/24/2018	6/14/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-DTS	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00151-JNE-DTS	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-DTS	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-DTS	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-DTS	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00575-JNE-DTS	Ballasso, Marilyn v. 3M Company, et al.	6/27/2018	7/18/2018	8/10/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00660-JNE-DTS	Doyle, Linda v. 3M Company, et al.	7/11/2018	8/1/2018	8/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00991-JNE-DTS	Hawkins, Loretta v. 3M Company, et al.	7/17/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-00712-JNE-DTS	Haladay, Jeffrey (OBO Harold Owens) v. 3M Company	8/3/2018	8/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02147-JNE-DTS	(Foote, Diane ) Sims v. 3M Company et al	8/3/2018	8/24/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**

(Updated September 17, 2018)

0:18-cv-00207-JNE-DTS	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	8/6/2018	8/27/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-DTS	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	7/31/2018	8/2/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00318-JNE-DTS	Amador, George v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	7/26/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00354-JNE-DTS	McDermott, Kevin et al v. 3M Company et al	7/18/2018	8/8/2018		Parker Waichman
0:18-cv-00891-JNE-DTS	Winn, Robert v. 3M Company et al	7/24/2018	8/14/2018		Murray Law Firm
0:18-cv-00916-JNE-DTS	Foltz, Donald v. 3M Company et al	8/17/2018	9/7/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton, LLP
0:18-cv-00944-JNE-DTS	Kotowski, Joseph et al v. 3M Company et al	8/17/2018	9/7/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04375-JNE-DTS	Baker, David v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04512-JNE-DTS	Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04517-JNE-DTS	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04857-JNE-DTS	Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04877-JNE-DTS	McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00167-JNE-DTS	Shoaf et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/4/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03549-JNE-DTS	Salinas, Juan v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-DTS	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	7/17/2018	8/7/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04754-JNE-DTS	Weaver, Donna v. 3M Company, et al.	6/19/2018	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03912-JNE-DTS	Kellett, Jackson v. 3M Company et al	7/26/2018	8/16/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:16-cv-02298-JNE-DTS	D'andrea, Mario et al v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017 7/10/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-DTS	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05052-JNE-DTS	Hanks, Julie v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:18-cv-00240-JNE-DTS	Dixon, Michael v. 3M Company	6/4/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00424-JNE-DTS	Harris, Lola v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:15-cv-03736-JNE-DTS	Printup ( Charlene Smiley) v. 3M Company et al	6/28/2017	8/10/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-01701-JNE-DTS	Wright, Michael v. 3M Company	6/22/2017	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-01703-JNE-DTS	Ussery, Bruce v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02426-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Edwards et al v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-DTS	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	8/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04009-JNE-DTS	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018 8/17/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-DTS	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04967-JNE-DTS	Ewing, Kelly v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05327-JNE-DTS	Lykes-Tarver, Tracy v. 3M Company	6/18/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05348-JNE-DTS	Wilmer, Roxanne v. 3M Company et al	7/2/2018	8/10/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-05424-JNE-DTS	Kent, Maude v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05426-JNE-DTS	Meyers, Leslie v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Christ, Ralph et al v. 3M Company et al	6/25/2018	8/10/2018	Murray Law Firm
0:18-cv-00146-JNE-DTS	Goodrum, Vernon v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00231-JNE-DTS	Lambert, Vincent v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Swartz & Swartz, P.C.
0:18-cv-00262-JNE-DTS	Brabham, Earnest v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018	8/10/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00432-JNE-DTS	Falcetta, Edward v. 3M Company	6/8/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00512-JNE-DTS	Flynn, Michael v. 3M Company et al	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00593-JNE-DTS	Franklin, Kenneth v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00594-JNE-DTS	Roberts, Greta v. 3M Company	7/2/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00599-JNE-DTS	White, Cynthia v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00600-JNE-DTS	Trude, Barbara v. 3M Company	7/3/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00628-JNE-DTS	Boyle, Maureen v. 3M Company et al	7/2/2018	8/10/2018	Langdon and Emison
0:18-cv-00665-JNE-DTS	Hughes, Wilbert v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-00669-JNE-DTS	Counard-Stowell, Sandra v. 3M Company	7/3/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00670-JNE-DTS	Darwick, Robert v. 3M Company	7/18/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00671-JNE-DTS	McAvoy, Karen v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00672-JNE-DTS	Prudhomme, Laura v. 3M Company	7/2/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00673-JNE-DTS	Rudolph, Sheila v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00674-JNE-DTS	Welch, Leon v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00675-JNE-DTS	Wolf, Joyce v. 3M Company	6/29/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00676-JNE-DTS	Bunk, Susan v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00678-JNE-DTS	Tawes, George v. 3M Company	6/6/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00688-JNE-DTS	Conrad, John v. 3M Company	7/11/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00689-JNE-DTS	Alexander, Todd v. 3M Company	7/16/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00690-JNE-DTS	Dupont, Roy v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00691-JNE-DTS	Hauser, Michael v. 3M Company	6/29/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00881-JNE-DTS	Willimon, Brenda v. 3M Company et al	7/24/2018	8/10/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00884-JNE-DTS	Bosarge, Judy v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-00962-JNE-DTS	Snow, Lorraine v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00963-JNE-DTS	Wolfe, Stanley v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00965-JNE-DTS	Branch, Charles v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-01096-JNE-DTS	Phillips, Virginia v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01097-JNE-DTS	Ramondo, Joseph v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01099-JNE-DTS	Reid, Patricia v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01100-JNE-DTS	Richards, Linda v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01101-JNE-DTS	Roshell, Doreen v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01111-JNE-DTS	Turner, Carrie v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01119-JNE-DTS	Scott, Margaret v. 3M Company	7/9/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01120-JNE-DTS	Sherman, Mary v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01123-JNE-DTS	Twichell, Ben v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01125-JNE-DTS	Dixon, Harold et al v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01126-JNE-DTS	Watkins, Franscine v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01136-JNE-DTS	Boylan, Gerald Jr.v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01137-JNE-DTS	Chapman, Joann v. 3M Company	6/11/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01139-JNE-DTS	Clark, Lasonia v. 3M Company	6/8/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01140-JNE-DTS	Berk, Judith v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01148-JNE-DTS	Libbey, Letha v. 3M Company	7/2/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01154-JNE-DTS	Lombardo, James v. 3M Company	7/3/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01157-JNE-DTS	Mason, Walter Jr. v. 3M Company	7/3/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01158-JNE-DTS	Owens, Sandra v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01170-JNE-DTS	Jasmund, Allen v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01345-JNE-DTS	Lane, Tonya v. 3M Company et al	7/23/2018	8/10/2018	Meshbeshier & Spence
0:17-cv-03252-JNE-DTS	Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-DTS	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05047-JNE-DTS	Clark, Georgia v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-05465-JNE-DTS	Brown, Cynthia v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00076-JNE-DTS	Kurbis, Melanie v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00159-JNE-DTS	Roberts, Solomon et al v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018		Morgan & Morgan Complex Litigation Group
0:18-cv-00348-JNE-DTS	Smith, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00481-JNE-DTS	Brann, Stefan v. 3M Company et al	7/9/2018		Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00740-JNE-DTS	McKinney, Kimberly et al v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00808-JNE-DTS	Thomas-Jackson, Callie v. 3M Company et al	7/17/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-01102-JNE-DTS	Tate, Melvin v. 3M Company	7/30/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01103-JNE-DTS	Tedford, Louise v. 3M Company	8/17/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01113-JNE-DTS	Shade, Collette v. 3M Company	7/30/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01121-JNE-DTS	Stapley, William v. 3M Company	8/16/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated September 17, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01210-JNE-DTS	Johnston, Jean v. 3M Company	7/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01507-JNE-DTS	Finley, William III v. 3M Company	7/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01510-JNE-DTS	Butters, Kenneth v. 3M Company	7/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01517-JNE-DTS	Custer, Nettie v. 3M Company	7/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



# EXHIBIT D

**From:** Ben Hulse

**Sent:** Friday, October 12, 2018 1:39 PM

**To:** 'JoanEricksen\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[JoanEricksen\\_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>; 'schultz\_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <[schultz\\_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:schultz_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)>

**Cc:** 'Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesher & Spence' ([gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com))' <[gzimmerman@meshbesher.com](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesher.com)>; 'Gabriel Assaad' ([gassaad@kennedyhodes.com](mailto:gassaad@kennedyhodes.com))' <[gassaad@kennedyhodes.com](mailto:gassaad@kennedyhodes.com)>; 'Jan Conlin' ([JMC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:JMC@ciresiconlin.com))' <[JMC@ciresiconlin.com](mailto:JMC@ciresiconlin.com)>; 'Ben

Gordon' ([bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com))' <[bgordon@levinlaw.com](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com)>; Jerry Blackwell <[blackwell@blackwellburke.com](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com)>; Lyn Pruitt <[LPruitt@mwlaw.com](mailto:LPruitt@mwlaw.com)>; 'David Szerlag' <[david@pritzkerlaw.com](mailto:david@pritzkerlaw.com)>; Bridget Ahmann <[Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)>; Mary Young <[myoung@blackwellburke.com](mailto:myoung@blackwellburke.com)>; David Hodges <[dhodges@kennedyhodes.com](mailto:dhodges@kennedyhodes.com)>

**Subject:** Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Joint Agenda and Report for October 15 Status Conference

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Schultz,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, attached is the parties' Joint Agenda and Report for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, October 15. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Best regards,  
Ben Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse  
Blackwell Burke P.A.  
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
Direct (612) 343-3256  
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

**Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets**  
(Updated October 12, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00802-JNE-DTS	Brown, Charles v. 3M Company et al	3/23/2018	6/21/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00840-JNE-DTS	Stidham, Robert et al v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00842-JNE-DTS	Wiggins, Francis v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-01175-JNE-DTS	Collins, Jean v. 3M Company et al	4/30/2018	7/29/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-01283-JNE-DTS	Winegar, Connie v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	8/6/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00264-JNE-DTS	Wega, Salvadore v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	9/17/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-01362-JNE-DTS	DeSimone, Sandra v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	8/15/2018	9/17/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-01435-JNE-DTS	Swatchick, Lou Todd et al v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018	9/17/2018	Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01455-JNE-DTS	Matteo, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018	9/17/2018	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-01456-JNE-DTS	Manheim, Kathleen v. 3M Company et al	5/25/2018	8/23/2018	9/17/2018	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-01542-JNE-DTS	Davis, Harry v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	9/2/2018	9/17/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-01613-JNE-DTS	Dobeck, Donna v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018	9/6/2018	9/17/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-01646-JNE-DTS	Beulke, David v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018	9/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01692-JNE-DTS	Shumpert, David et al v. 3M Company et al	6/19/2018	9/17/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01707-JNE-DTS	Spriggs, Connie v. 3M Company et al	6/21/2018	9/19/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01708-JNE-DTS	Keller, Kenneth v. 3M Company et al	6/21/2018	9/19/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01738-JNE-DTS	McClain, Allen v. 3M Company et al	6/25/2018	9/23/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-01747-JNE-DTS	Hendrickson, Craig v. 3M Company et al	6/26/2018	9/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01828-JNE-DTS	Snyder, Robert v. 3M Company et al	6/29/2018	9/27/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-01861-JNE-DTS	Barnett, Mark v. 3M Company et al	7/3/2018	09/31/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01870-JNE-DTS	Pasko, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al	7/3/2018	09/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01878-JNE-DTS	Spry, Geraldine v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	10/3/2018		McEwen Law Firm, Ltd.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
(Updated October 12, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-DTS	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018 07/10/2018	7/31/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-DTS	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-DTS	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-DTS	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-DTS	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018 07/10/2018	7/31/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00207-JNE-DTS	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	8/6/2018	8/27/2018	9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-DTS	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	7/31/2018	8/21/2018	9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00318-JNE-DTS	Amador, George v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	7/26/2018	9/17/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00575-JNE-DTS	Ballasso, Marilyn v. 3M Company et al	6/27/2018	7/18/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00891-JNE-DTS	Winn, Robert v. 3M Company et al	7/24/2018	8/14/2018	9/17/2018	Murray Law Firm
0:17-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Malinski, Michael v. 3M Company et al	bresno	10/2/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00617-JNE-DTS	Hayes, Carol v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00998-JNE-DTS	Caison, John et al v. 3M Company et al	8/22/2018	9/12/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-01069-JNE-DTS	Grable, Richard v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01398-JNE-DTS	Albert, Roy v. 3M Company et al	9/17/2018	10/8/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-01416-JNE-DTS	Carter, Gregory v. 3M Company et al	9/10/2018	10/1/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-DTS	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	10/2/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00167-JNE-DTS	Shoaf et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/4/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018 8/10/2018 9/17/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices**  
(Updated October 12, 2018)

0:17-cv-03549-JNE-DTS	Salinas, Juan v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018	7/31/2018	9/17/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-DTS	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	7/17/2018		9/17/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03143-JNE-DTS	Keel, James v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-DTS	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-DTS	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04889-JNE-DTS	Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-DTS	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00451-JNE-DTS	Gregg, Cynthia v. 3M Company et al	9/10/2018	10/1/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<b>Case Number</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>3rd Deficiency Notice Sent</b>	<b>Response Due Date</b>	<b>Prior Listing</b>	<b>Firm Name</b>
0:17-cv-04754-JNE-DTS	Weaver, Donna v. 3M Company et al	6/19/2018	7/10/2018	8/10/2018 9/14/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03259-JNE-DTS	Folmer, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	8/2/2018	8/23/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04302-JNE-DTS	Tilley, William v. 3M Company et al	9/10/2018	10/1/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04476-JNE-DTS	Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al	9/12/2018	10/3/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-DTS	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al.	9/4/2018	9/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated October 12, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-01701-JNE-DTS	Wright, Michael v. 3M Company	6/22/2017	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-02426-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Edwards et al v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-DTS	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04967-JNE-DTS	Ewing, Kelly v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05327-JNE-DTS	Lykes-Tarver, Tracy v. 3M Company	6/18/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05426-JNE-DTS	Meyers, Leslie v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-00262-JNE-DTS	Brabham, Earnest v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00665-JNE-DTS	Hughes, Wilbert v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-00676-JNE-DTS	Bunk, Susan v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00690-JNE-DTS	Dupont, Roy v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-01137-JNE-DTS	Chapman, Joann v. 3M Company	6/11/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01154-JNE-DTS	Lombardo, James v. 3M Company	7/3/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01345-JNE-DTS	Lane, Tonya v. 3M Company et al	7/23/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Meshbeshier & Spence
0:17-cv-01703-JNE-DTS	Ussery, Bruce v. 3M Company	6/22/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-DTS	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018	9/17/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05047-JNE-DTS	Clark, Georgia v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018	9/17/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated October 12, 2018)

0:17-cv-05348-JNE-DTS	Wilmer, Roxanne v. 3M Company et al	7/2/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00159-JNE-DTS	Roberts, Solomon et al v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018	9/17/2018	Morgan & Morgan Complex Litigation Group
0:18-cv-00348-JNE-DTS	Smith, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018	9/17/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00432-JNE-DTS	Falcetta, Edward v. 3M Company	6/8/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00481-JNE-DTS	Brann, Stefan v. 3M Company et al	7/9/2018	9/17/2018	Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00670-JNE-DTS	Darwick, Robert v. 3M Company	7/18/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00674-JNE-DTS	Welch, Leon v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00675-JNE-DTS	Wolf, Joyce v. 3M Company	6/29/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00688-JNE-DTS	Conrad, John v. 3M Company	7/11/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00689-JNE-DTS	Alexander, Todd v. 3M Company	7/16/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00691-JNE-DTS	Hauser, Michael v. 3M Company	6/29/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00740-JNE-DTS	McKinney, Kimberly et al v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018	9/17/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00884-JNE-DTS	Bosarge, Judy v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-00962-JNE-DTS	Snow, Lorraine v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00963-JNE-DTS	Wolfe, Stanley v. 3M Company	7/5/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00965-JNE-DTS	Branch, Charles v. 3M Company	7/10/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01096-JNE-DTS	Phillips, Virginia v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



## Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated October 12, 2018)

0:18-cv-01097-JNE-DTS	Ramondo, Joseph v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01099-JNE-DTS	Reid, Patricia v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01101-JNE-DTS	Roshell, Doreen v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01102-JNE-DTS	Tate, Melvin v. 3M Company	7/30/2018	9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01113-JNE-DTS	Shade, Collette v. 3M Company	7/30/2018	9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01119-JNE-DTS	Scott, Margaret v. 3M Company	7/9/2018	9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01123-JNE-DTS	Twichell, Ben v. 3M Company	6/19/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01139-JNE-DTS	Clark, Lasonia v. 3M Company	6/8/2018	8/10/2018 9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01510-JNE-DTS	Butters, Kenneth v. 3M Company	7/24/2018	9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01517-JNE-DTS	Custer, Nettie v. 3M Company	7/24/2018	9/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:15-cv-04491-JNE-DTS	Morris, Lorraine (OBO Nicolas J. Morris) v. 3M Company et al	8/3/2018		Hausfeld, LLP
0:16-cv-00798-JNE-DTS	(Kohout), Barnes, Shirley v. 3M Company	8/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
0:16-cv-04161-JNE-DTS	Bond, Karen (OBO Thomas Bond) v. 3M Company	8/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
0:16-cv-04304-JNE-DTS	Reetz, Harvey and Renee et al v. 3M Company et al	8/7/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
<b>Case Number</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Final Deficiency Sent</b>	<b>Prior Listing</b>	<b>Firm Name</b>
0:17-cv-01942-JNE-DTS	McCrary, Lisa (OBO Alice McClain) v. 3M Company et al	8/3/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.



**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated October 12, 2018)

0:17-cv-03593-JNE-DTS	Jordan H. Kohler v. 3M Company et al	7/31/2018		Pogust, Braslow & Millrood, LLC
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-DTS	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018 9/12/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04517-JNE-DTS	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	8/30/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05199-JNE-DTS	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05200-JNE-DTS	Picuri, David v. 3M Company et al	7/24/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-DTS	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	7/5/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05271-JNE-DTS	Gilmore, Kenneth et al v. 3M Company et al	6/18/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-DTS	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-DTS	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	8/15/2018 8/17/2018 9/12/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00760-JNE-DTS	Bazemore, Mary v. 3M Company et al	8/2/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence, LTD.
0:18-cv-00803-JNE-DTS	Farrell, Thomas v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00804-JNE-DTS	Maehle, Mark v. 3M Company et al	7/10/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00877-JNE-DTS	Keithley, Gary et al v. 3M Company et al	7/23/2018		Murray Law Firm
0:18-cv-00903-JNE-DTS	Hall, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	8/2/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-01007-JNE-DTS	Sweezy, Richard v. 3M Company et al	7/24/2018		Kennedy Hodges
0:18-cv-01033-JNE-DTS	Chase, Raymond v. 3M Company et al	8/16/2018 8/17/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01036-JNE-DTS	Workentine, Patricia et al v. 3M Company et al	8/14/2018		Walters Law Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01078-JNE-DTS	Menefee, Arthur v. 3M Company et al	8/17/2018		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:18-cv-01098-JNE-DTS	Rodliff, Peter v. 3M Company	8/15/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
<b>Case Number</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Final Deficiency Sent</b>	<b>Prior Listing</b>	<b>Firm Name</b>
0:18-cv-01110-JNE-DTS	Ryles, Patricia v. 3M Company	7/30/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01112-JNE-DTS	Willis ,Curtis v. 3M Company	7/30/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

**Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response**  
(Updated October 12, 2018)

0:18-cv-01114-JNE-DTS	Smith, Ronald v. 3M Company	8/7/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01122-JNE-DTS	Turnage, Dalton v. 3M Company	8/7/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01124-JNE-DTS	Yost, Michael v. 3M Company	7/30/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01155-JNE-DTS	Mackenzie, Bonnie v. 3M Company	8/6/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01171-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Roger v. 3M Company	8/6/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01245-JNE-DTS	Pozyski, Mary et al v. 3M Company et al	9/21/2018		Raizner Slania LLP
0:18-cv-01505-JNE-DTS	Cerbins, Rosemary v. 3M Company	7/24/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01511-JNE-DTS	Hunt, Shirley v. 3M Company	8/6/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01515-JNE-DTS	Mitchell, James v. 3M Company	8/14/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01518-JNE-DTS	Kirk, Catherine v. 3M Company	8/14/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01521-JNE-DTS	Pennington, Tommy v. 3M Company	8/7/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01769-JNE-DTS	McCoy, Ethel v. 3M Company	8/14/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.